

TO IMPEACH MR. BAYARD

REPUBLICANS INCENSED AT HIS
RECENT LONDON SPEECH.The London Times' Report of the Speech
Quoted in the Resolution—Mr.
Crisp's Defence of Bayard.

WASHINGTON, D. C., Dec. 10.—On motion of Mr. Dingle (Rep.), of Maine, it was agreed that when the House adjourns to-day it be until Thursday.

Mr. Fisher (Rep.), of New York, asked consideration of a resolution directing the Committee on Naval Affairs, when appointed, to investigate the reports that the battleship Texas is faulty in construction, and into all matters pertaining to her cost, seaworthiness, etc.

On motion of Mr. Crisp, it was referred to the Naval Committee.

THE RESOLUTION.

Mr. Call (Rep.), of Massachusetts, offered the following resolution, and asked its immediate consideration:

Resolved, That the House of Representatives, in its capacity as a body, be authorized to send a committee to investigate the charges against Mr. Bayard, and to report thereon to the House on or before the 25th day of August, 1896.

The President stood in the midst of a self-confident and oftentimes violent people, men who sought to have their own way. It took a real statesman to govern such a people.

Whereas, said Bayard, is further reported by the press of a speech delivered in Edinburgh, Scotland, on the 7th day of November, 1895.

"In my own country I have witnessed the growth of a form of socialism, styled protection, which has come more to corrupt the mind of public councils, and to lower the tone of the national representation than any other single cause."

Mr. Crisp stood in the midst of a self-confident and oftentimes violent people, men who sought to have their own way. It took a real statesman to govern such a people.

Whereas, said Bayard, is further reported by the press of a speech delivered in Edinburgh, Scotland, on the 7th day of November, 1895.

"In my own country I have witnessed the growth of a form of socialism, styled protection, which has come more to corrupt the mind of public councils, and to lower the tone of the national representation than any other single cause."

FUNCTIONS OF AMBASSADORS.

Whereas, it is not one of the functions of an ambassador to insult the people to which he is sent, and it is consistent neither with the character of the office nor with the dignity of the United States that their Ambassadors abroad should make violent partisan statements, and thus reflect upon the Government, and upon the people of the United States, therefore be it

Resolved, That the President be, and he hereby is, requested to inform the Senate of the results of his mission, and to report thereon to the Senate on or before the 25th day of August, 1896.

Mr. Crisp objected to its consideration, and it was referred to the Committee on Foreign Relations.

Mr. Barrett (Rep.), of Massachusetts, offered as a matter of privilege, resolutions of impeachment against Mr. Bayard, United States Ambassador to Great Britain.

Following is the text of the resolutions:

Resolved, That Mr. Bayard, United States Ambassador to Great Britain, be impeached for high crimes and misdemeanors on the following charges:

BAYARD'S SPEECH.

Whereas, the following report of a speech delivered before the Edinburgh Philosophical Institution was published in the London Times under date of November 8, 1895:

"The opening address of the Edinburgh Philosophical Institution was delivered by Mr. Bayard, United States Ambassador to Great Britain, who selected the subject 'Individual Freedom, the Term of National Progress and Liberty.'"

"In his own country, he said, he had witnessed the inevitable growth of that form of Socialism styled protection, which has come more to corrupt the mind of public councils, and to lower the tone of the national representation than any other single cause."

"Under its dictation individual enterprise and independence have been oppressed, and the people have been debilitated and discouraged."

"It had substantially allied itself with every form of materialism, and had become a mercenary scramble, to lower the tone of the national representation, and to lower the tone of the national representation, and to lower the tone of the national representation."

"Step by step it has succeeded in obtaining control of the sovereign power of taxation, and in obtaining control of the sovereign power of taxation, and in obtaining control of the sovereign power of taxation."

"Under its dictation individual enterprise and independence have been oppressed, and the people have been debilitated and discouraged."

"It had substantially allied itself with every form of materialism, and had become a mercenary scramble, to lower the tone of the national representation, and to lower the tone of the national representation, and to lower the tone of the national representation."

"Step by step it has succeeded in obtaining control of the sovereign power of taxation, and in obtaining control of the sovereign power of taxation, and in obtaining control of the sovereign power of taxation."

"Under its dictation individual enterprise and independence have been oppressed, and the people have been debilitated and discouraged."

"It had substantially allied itself with every form of materialism, and had become a mercenary scramble, to lower the tone of the national representation, and to lower the tone of the national representation, and to lower the tone of the national representation."

"Step by step it has succeeded in obtaining control of the sovereign power of taxation, and in obtaining control of the sovereign power of taxation, and in obtaining control of the sovereign power of taxation."

"Under its dictation individual enterprise and independence have been oppressed, and the people have been debilitated and discouraged."

"It had substantially allied itself with every form of materialism, and had become a mercenary scramble, to lower the tone of the national representation, and to lower the tone of the national representation, and to lower the tone of the national representation."

"Step by step it has succeeded in obtaining control of the sovereign power of taxation, and in obtaining control of the sovereign power of taxation, and in obtaining control of the sovereign power of taxation."

"Under its dictation individual enterprise and independence have been oppressed, and the people have been debilitated and discouraged."

"It had substantially allied itself with every form of materialism, and had become a mercenary scramble, to lower the tone of the national representation, and to lower the tone of the national representation, and to lower the tone of the national representation."

"Step by step it has succeeded in obtaining control of the sovereign power of taxation, and in obtaining control of the sovereign power of taxation, and in obtaining control of the sovereign power of taxation."

"Under its dictation individual enterprise and independence have been oppressed, and the people have been debilitated and discouraged."

"It had substantially allied itself with every form of materialism, and had become a mercenary scramble, to lower the tone of the national representation, and to lower the tone of the national representation, and to lower the tone of the national representation."

"Step by step it has succeeded in obtaining control of the sovereign power of taxation, and in obtaining control of the sovereign power of taxation, and in obtaining control of the sovereign power of taxation."

"Under its dictation individual enterprise and independence have been oppressed, and the people have been debilitated and discouraged."

"It had substantially allied itself with every form of materialism, and had become a mercenary scramble, to lower the tone of the national representation, and to lower the tone of the national representation, and to lower the tone of the national representation."

"Step by step it has succeeded in obtaining control of the sovereign power of taxation, and in obtaining control of the sovereign power of taxation, and in obtaining control of the sovereign power of taxation."

"Under its dictation individual enterprise and independence have been oppressed, and the people have been debilitated and discouraged."

"It had substantially allied itself with every form of materialism, and had become a mercenary scramble, to lower the tone of the national representation, and to lower the tone of the national representation, and to lower the tone of the national representation."

MR. BAYARD WAS RIGHT.

HIS VIEWS WERE MERE STATEMENTS OF FACTS AS THEY EXIST.

Virginia's Representative Made the Most
Creditable Speech—A Bill to Enlarge
the Norfolk Navy Yard.

WASHINGTON, D. C., Dec. 10.—Special.—The resolutions introduced in the House to-day by the Republicans, charging Minister Bayard with the use of improper language in his speeches in England regarding the use of the taxing power in this country, showed a degree of sensitiveness on the part of the majority in the House which should be amusing to the people of the country.

Very few people who have studied conditions in this country can doubt the truth of all that Mr. Bayard's assertions regarding the pernicious doctrine of protection. Mr. Bayard's general declaration, that protection has done more to lower the standard of political morality, has tended towards socialism, and has generally interfered with development in every direction, are as much statements of fact as the declaration that the principle of political economy.

As Mr. Crisp declared, however, the resolutions were probably not introduced for any other purpose than to afford the Republican members an opportunity of airing their views respecting protection.

The discussion, however, developed the first of the resolutions that had occurred in the House since Congress assembled.

CRISP THE LEADER.

Mr. Crisp's reappearance as a leader of the Democratic side on the floor was almost a surprise to those who had seen him on both sides. The fact that the ex-Speaker and the Democratic side generally were entirely unprepared for the attack, placed the Republicans at a disadvantage. There was no one on the Democratic side who was ready to resist the attack.

Mr. Crisp's speech was not a strong one, but it succeeded in showing the majority of the House that the Democrats were not without a leader.

Mr. Crisp's speech was not a strong one, but it succeeded in showing the majority of the House that the Democrats were not without a leader.

Mr. Crisp's speech was not a strong one, but it succeeded in showing the majority of the House that the Democrats were not without a leader.

Mr. Crisp's speech was not a strong one, but it succeeded in showing the majority of the House that the Democrats were not without a leader.

Mr. Crisp's speech was not a strong one, but it succeeded in showing the majority of the House that the Democrats were not without a leader.

Mr. Crisp's speech was not a strong one, but it succeeded in showing the majority of the House that the Democrats were not without a leader.

Mr. Crisp's speech was not a strong one, but it succeeded in showing the majority of the House that the Democrats were not without a leader.

Mr. Crisp's speech was not a strong one, but it succeeded in showing the majority of the House that the Democrats were not without a leader.

Mr. Crisp's speech was not a strong one, but it succeeded in showing the majority of the House that the Democrats were not without a leader.

Mr. Crisp's speech was not a strong one, but it succeeded in showing the majority of the House that the Democrats were not without a leader.

Mr. Crisp's speech was not a strong one, but it succeeded in showing the majority of the House that the Democrats were not without a leader.

Mr. Crisp's speech was not a strong one, but it succeeded in showing the majority of the House that the Democrats were not without a leader.

Mr. Crisp's speech was not a strong one, but it succeeded in showing the majority of the House that the Democrats were not without a leader.

Mr. Crisp's speech was not a strong one, but it succeeded in showing the majority of the House that the Democrats were not without a leader.

Mr. Crisp's speech was not a strong one, but it succeeded in showing the majority of the House that the Democrats were not without a leader.

Mr. Crisp's speech was not a strong one, but it succeeded in showing the majority of the House that the Democrats were not without a leader.

Mr. Crisp's speech was not a strong one, but it succeeded in showing the majority of the House that the Democrats were not without a leader.

Mr. Crisp's speech was not a strong one, but it succeeded in showing the majority of the House that the Democrats were not without a leader.

Mr. Crisp's speech was not a strong one, but it succeeded in showing the majority of the House that the Democrats were not without a leader.

Mr. Crisp's speech was not a strong one, but it succeeded in showing the majority of the House that the Democrats were not without a leader.

Mr. Crisp's speech was not a strong one, but it succeeded in showing the majority of the House that the Democrats were not without a leader.

Mr. Crisp's speech was not a strong one, but it succeeded in showing the majority of the House that the Democrats were not without a leader.

Mr. Crisp's speech was not a strong one, but it succeeded in showing the majority of the House that the Democrats were not without a leader.

Mr. Crisp's speech was not a strong one, but it succeeded in showing the majority of the House that the Democrats were not without a leader.

Mr. Crisp's speech was not a strong one, but it succeeded in showing the majority of the House that the Democrats were not without a leader.

Mr. Crisp's speech was not a strong one, but it succeeded in showing the majority of the House that the Democrats were not without a leader.

MR. BAYARD WAS RIGHT.

HIS VIEWS WERE MERE STATEMENTS OF FACTS AS THEY EXIST.

Virginia's Representative Made the Most
Creditable Speech—A Bill to Enlarge
the Norfolk Navy Yard.

WASHINGTON, D. C., Dec. 10.—Special.—The resolutions introduced in the House to-day by the Republicans, charging Minister Bayard with the use of improper language in his speeches in England regarding the use of the taxing power in this country, showed a degree of sensitiveness on the part of the majority in the House which should be amusing to the people of the country.

Very few people who have studied conditions in this country can doubt the truth of all that Mr. Bayard's assertions regarding the pernicious doctrine of protection. Mr. Bayard's general declaration, that protection has done more to lower the standard of political morality, has tended towards socialism, and has generally interfered with development in every direction, are as much statements of fact as the declaration that the principle of political economy.

As Mr. Crisp declared, however, the resolutions were probably not introduced for any other purpose than to afford the Republican members an opportunity of airing their views respecting protection.

The discussion, however, developed the first of the resolutions that had occurred in the House since Congress assembled.

CRISP THE LEADER.

Mr. Crisp's reappearance as a leader of the Democratic side on the floor was almost a surprise to those who had seen him on both sides. The fact that the ex-Speaker and the Democratic side generally were entirely unprepared for the attack, placed the Republicans at a disadvantage. There was no one on the Democratic side who was ready to resist the attack.

Mr. Crisp's speech was not a strong one, but it succeeded in showing the majority of the House that the Democrats were not without a leader.

Mr. Crisp's speech was not a strong one, but it succeeded in showing the majority of the House that the Democrats were not without a leader.

Mr. Crisp's speech was not a strong one, but it succeeded in showing the majority of the House that the Democrats were not without a leader.

Mr. Crisp's speech was not a strong one, but it succeeded in showing the majority of the House that the Democrats were not without a leader.

Mr. Crisp's speech was not a strong one, but it succeeded in showing the majority of the House that the Democrats were not without a leader.

Mr. Crisp's speech was not a strong one, but it succeeded in showing the majority of the House that the Democrats were not without a leader.

Mr. Crisp's speech was not a strong one, but it succeeded in showing the majority of the House that the Democrats were not without a leader.

Mr. Crisp's speech was not a strong one, but it succeeded in showing the majority of the House that the Democrats were not without a leader.

Mr. Crisp's speech was not a strong one, but it succeeded in showing the majority of the House that the Democrats were not without a leader.

Mr. Crisp's speech was not a strong one, but it succeeded in showing the majority of the House that the Democrats were not without a leader.

Mr. Crisp's speech was not a strong one, but it succeeded in showing the majority of the House that the Democrats were not without a leader.

Mr. Crisp's speech was not a strong one, but it succeeded in showing the majority of the House that the Democrats were not without a leader.

Mr. Crisp's speech was not a strong one, but it succeeded in showing the majority of the House that the Democrats were not without a leader.

Mr. Crisp's speech was not a strong one, but it succeeded in showing the majority of the House that the Democrats were not without a leader.

Mr. Crisp's speech was not a strong one, but it succeeded in showing the majority of the House that the Democrats were not without a leader.

Mr. Crisp's speech was not a strong one, but it succeeded in showing the majority of the House that the Democrats were not without a leader.

Mr. Crisp's speech was not a strong one, but it succeeded in showing the majority of the House that the Democrats were not without a leader.

Mr. Crisp's speech was not a strong one, but it succeeded in showing the majority of the House that the Democrats were not without a leader.

Mr. Crisp's speech was not a strong one, but it succeeded in showing the majority of the House that the Democrats were not without a leader.

Mr. Crisp's speech was not a strong one, but it succeeded in showing the majority of the House that the Democrats were not without a leader.

Mr. Crisp's speech was not a strong one, but it succeeded in showing the majority of the House that the Democrats were not without a leader.

Mr. Crisp's speech was not a strong one, but it succeeded in showing the majority of the House that the Democrats were not without a leader.

Mr. Crisp's speech was not a strong one, but it succeeded in showing the majority of the House that the Democrats were not without a leader.

Mr. Crisp's speech was not a strong one, but it succeeded in showing the majority of the House that the Democrats were not without a leader.

Mr. Crisp's speech was not a strong one, but it succeeded in showing the majority of the House that the Democrats were not without a leader.

Mr. Crisp's speech was not a strong one, but it succeeded in showing the majority of the House that the Democrats were not without a leader.

MR. BAYARD WAS RIGHT.

HIS VIEWS WERE MERE STATEMENTS OF FACTS AS THEY EXIST.

Virginia's Representative Made the Most
Creditable Speech—A Bill to Enlarge
the Norfolk Navy Yard.

WASHINGTON, D. C., Dec. 10.—Special.—The resolutions introduced in the House to-day by the Republicans, charging Minister Bayard with the use of improper language in his speeches in England regarding the use of the taxing power in this country, showed a degree of sensitiveness on the part of the majority in the House which should be amusing to the people of the country.

Very few people who have studied conditions in this country can doubt the truth of all that Mr. Bayard's assertions regarding the pernicious doctrine of protection. Mr. Bayard's general declaration, that protection has done more to lower the standard of political morality, has tended towards socialism, and has generally interfered with development in every direction, are as much statements of fact as the declaration that the principle of political economy.

As Mr. Crisp declared, however, the resolutions were probably not introduced for any other purpose than to afford the Republican members an opportunity of airing their views respecting protection.

The discussion, however, developed the first of the resolutions that had occurred in the House since Congress assembled.

CRISP THE LEADER.

Mr. Crisp's reappearance as a leader of the Democratic side on the floor was almost a surprise to those who had seen him on both sides. The fact that the ex-Speaker and the Democratic side generally were entirely unprepared for the attack, placed the Republicans at a disadvantage. There was no one on the Democratic side who was ready to resist the attack.

Mr. Crisp's speech was not a strong one, but it succeeded in showing the majority of the House that the Democrats were not without a leader.

Mr. Crisp's speech was not a strong one, but it succeeded in showing the majority of the House that the Democrats were not without a leader.

Mr. Crisp's speech was not a strong one, but it succeeded in showing the majority of the House that the Democrats were not without a leader.

Mr. Crisp's speech was not a strong one, but it succeeded in showing the majority of the House that the Democrats were not without a leader.

Mr. Crisp's speech was not a strong one, but it succeeded in showing the majority of the House that the Democrats were not without a leader.

Mr. Crisp's speech was not a strong one, but it succeeded in showing the majority of the House that the Democrats were not without a leader.

Mr. Crisp's speech was not a strong one, but it succeeded in showing the majority of the House that the Democrats were not without a leader.

Mr. Crisp's speech was not a strong one, but it succeeded in showing the majority of the House that the Democrats were not without a leader.

Mr. Crisp's speech was not a strong one, but it succeeded in showing the majority of the House that the Democrats were not without a leader.

Mr. Crisp's speech was not a strong one, but it succeeded in showing the majority of the House that the Democrats were not without a leader.

Mr. Crisp's speech was not a strong one, but it succeeded in showing the majority of the House that the Democrats were not without a leader.

Mr. Crisp's speech was not a strong one, but it succeeded in showing the majority of the House that the Democrats were not without a leader.

Mr. Crisp's speech was not a strong one, but it succeeded in showing the majority of the House that the Democrats were not without a leader.

Mr. Crisp's speech was not a strong one, but it succeeded in showing the majority of the House that the Democrats were not without a leader.

Mr. Crisp's speech was not a strong one, but it succeeded in showing the majority of the House that the Democrats were not without a leader.

Mr. Crisp's speech was not a strong one, but it succeeded in showing the majority of the House that the Democrats were not without a leader.

Mr. Crisp's speech was not a strong one, but it succeeded in showing the majority of the House that the Democrats were not without a leader.

Mr. Crisp's speech was not a strong one, but it succeeded in showing the majority of the House that the Democrats were not without a leader.

Mr. Crisp's speech was not a strong one, but it succeeded in showing the majority of the House that the Democrats were not without a leader.

Mr. Crisp's speech was not a strong one, but it succeeded in showing the majority of the House that the Democrats were not without a leader.

Mr. Crisp's speech was not a strong one, but it succeeded in showing the majority of the House that the Democrats were not without a leader.

Mr. Crisp's speech was not a strong one, but it succeeded in showing the majority of the House that the Democrats were not without a leader.

Mr. Crisp's speech was not a strong one, but it succeeded in showing the majority of the House that the Democrats were not without a leader.

Mr. Crisp's speech was not a strong one, but it succeeded in showing the majority of the House that the Democrats were not without a leader.

Mr. Crisp's speech was not a strong one, but it succeeded in showing the majority of the House that the Democrats were not without a leader.

Mr. Crisp's speech was not a strong one, but it succeeded in showing the majority of the House that the Democrats were not without a leader.

CLEVELAND IN DANGER.

THE VIOLET IN AN EXCEEDINGLY
DANGEROUS POSITION.Government Employees Requested to Make
No Report to the Outside World of
the President's Movements.

NORFOLK, VA., Dec. 10.—President Cleveland and his party have had an exceedingly rough time of it to-day and were unable to occupy the blinds provided for them at Cape Channel near Hatteras.

The Violet is to-night in an exceedingly dangerous position, although no fears are entertained.

All day long a terrific storm has raged along the coast, blowing from the north and northwest at the rate of fifty-six miles an hour. In fact, all along the coast it has blown at a velocity from fifty to sixty miles an hour.

To-night the storm is central near Hatteras, and it is being feared that the Violet is in a very dangerous position, although no fears are entertained.

Representative Tarsney, of Missouri, was a soldier in the Federal army during the war, and was captured by the Confederates in the vicinity of Gettysburg and sent to Andersonville. To-day he is speaking of speaking of the war.

Representative Tarsney, of Missouri, was a soldier in the Federal army during the war, and was captured by the Confederates in the vicinity of Gettysburg and sent to Andersonville. To-day he is speaking of speaking of the war.

Representative Tarsney, of Missouri, was a soldier in the Federal army during the war, and was captured by the Confederates in the vicinity of Gettysburg and sent to Andersonville. To-day he is speaking of speaking of the war.

Representative Tarsney, of Missouri, was a soldier in the Federal army during the war, and was captured by the Confederates in the vicinity of Gettysburg and sent to Andersonville. To-day he is speaking of speaking of the war.

Representative Tarsney, of Missouri, was a soldier in the Federal army during the war, and was captured by the Confederates in the vicinity of Gettysburg and sent to Andersonville. To-day he is speaking of speaking of the war.

Representative Tarsney, of Missouri, was a soldier in the Federal army during the war, and was captured by the Confederates in the vicinity of Gettysburg and sent to Andersonville. To-day he is speaking of speaking of the war.

Representative Tarsney, of Missouri, was a soldier in the Federal army during the war, and was captured by the Confederates in the vicinity of Gettysburg and sent to Andersonville. To-day he is speaking of speaking of the war.

Representative Tarsney, of Missouri, was a soldier in the Federal army during the war, and was captured by the Confederates in the vicinity of Gettysburg and sent to Andersonville. To-day he is speaking of speaking of the war.

Representative Tarsney, of Missouri, was a soldier in the Federal army during the war, and was captured by the Confederates in the vicinity of Gettysburg and sent to Andersonville. To-day he is speaking of speaking of the war.

Representative Tarsney, of Missouri, was a soldier in the Federal army during the war, and was captured by the Confederates in the vicinity of Gettysburg and sent to Andersonville. To-day he is speaking of speaking of the war.

Representative Tarsney, of Missouri, was a soldier in the Federal army during the war, and was captured by the Confederates in the vicinity of Gettysburg and sent to Andersonville. To-day he is speaking of speaking of the war.

Representative Tarsney, of Missouri, was a soldier in the Federal army during the war, and was captured by the Confederates in the vicinity of Gettysburg and sent to Andersonville. To-day he is speaking of speaking of the war.

Representative Tarsney, of Missouri, was a soldier in the Federal army during the war, and was captured by the Confederates in the vicinity of Gettysburg and sent to Andersonville. To-day he is speaking of speaking of the war.

Representative Tarsney, of Missouri, was a soldier in the Federal army during the war, and was captured by the Confederates in the vicinity of Gettysburg and sent to Andersonville. To-day he is speaking of speaking of the war.

Representative Tarsney, of Missouri, was a soldier in the Federal army during the war, and was captured by the Confederates in the vicinity of Gettysburg and sent to Andersonville. To-day he is speaking of speaking of the war.

Representative Tarsney, of Missouri, was a soldier in the Federal army during the war, and was captured by the Confederates in the vicinity of Gettysburg and sent to Andersonville. To-day he is speaking of speaking of the war.

Representative Tarsney, of Missouri, was a soldier in the Federal army during the war, and was captured by the Confederates in the vicinity of Gettysburg and sent to Andersonville. To-day he is speaking of speaking of the war.

Representative Tarsney, of Missouri, was a soldier in the Federal army during the war, and was captured by the Confederates in the vicinity of Gettysburg and sent to Andersonville. To-day he is speaking of speaking of the war.

Representative Tarsney, of Missouri, was a soldier in the Federal army during the war, and was captured by the Confederates in the vicinity of Gettysburg and sent to Andersonville. To-day he is speaking of speaking of the war.

Representative Tarsney, of Missouri, was a soldier in the Federal army during the war, and was captured by the Confederates in the vicinity of Gettysburg and sent to Andersonville. To-day he is speaking of speaking of the war.

Representative Tarsney, of Missouri, was a soldier in the Federal army during the war, and was captured by the Confederates in the vicinity of Gettysburg and sent to Andersonville. To-day he is speaking of speaking of the war.